| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | 10/617,865 | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Frederick J. Parker | 1762 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: RCE | | |
| (1) <u>Frederick J. Parker</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) Michael Makuch | (4) | , |
| Date of Interview: 11-15-05 | Time: 1201 PM | ,er |
| Type of Interview: Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: | | |
| rait III. | • | |
| Alt is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. The examiner called for a clarification of Step 34 v, which Mr. Makuch explained using the provided exhibit and supporting descriptive language on page 9, especially P3. Applicants use of "after" in (v) is ment | | |
| to specifically define invention to produce the very sharp ends of the intermittant coattrap ("terminal ends") discussed on top of P. 10 + bottom P. 9. | | |
| Abel | | |
| (Examine/SPE Signature) (Applicant/ | Applicant's Representative Si | ignature – if appropriate) |